

International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences ISSN: 2319-7706 Volume 12 Number 12 (2023) Journal homepage: <u>http://www.ijcmas.com</u>



Original Research Article

https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2023.1212.020

Diversity of Defoliators and Natural Enemies Associated with the Mulberry Ecosystem

Manjula Sultana¹, Dipankar Brahma^{2*}, Rushali Chakraborty³, Roshmi Borah and Jugabrat Sarma¹

¹Department of Sericulture, College of Agriculture, Assam Agricultural University, Jorhat – 785013, India ²Department of Sericulture, Forest College and Research Institute, Mettupalayam, TNAU, Coimbatore – 641301, India ³Department of Entomology, College of Sericulture, Assam Agricultural University, Jorhat – 785013, India

*Corresponding author

A B S T R A C T

Keywords

Defoliator, Natural Enemies, *Glyphodes pyloalis*, mulberry ecosystem, *Apanteles obliquae*

Article Info

Received: 20 October 2023 Accepted: 28 November 2023 Available Online: 10 December 2023 A comprehensive investigation into the intricate predator-prey and host-parasitoid dynamics within the mulberry ecosystem was conducted throughout 2021-2022. The study identified five defoliator pests targeting mulberry plants and documented the presence of eight natural enemies. The defoliator pests included the Mulberry leaf roller (Glyphodes pyloalis Walker), Common cutworm (Spodoptera litura Fabricius), Tussock caterpillar (Euproctis fraterna Moore), Spanworm (Hemerophillaa trilineata Butler), and Green weevil (Myllocerus viridanus Fabricius). Among the natural enemies were Apanteles obliquae Wilkinson, Chelonus carbonator Marshall, Ichneumonid wasp, Disophrys sp., Tachinid fly, Megaselia scalaris, Cheilomeness exmaculata, and spiders. Glyphodes pyloalis emerged as the most prevalent defoliator pest, while Apanteles obliquae stood out as the predominant natural enemy. Notably, hymenopteran parasitoids such as Apanteles obliquae, Chelonus carbonator, Ichneumonid wasp, and the coccinellid predator Cheilomeness exmaculata were identified as potentially active natural enemies against Glyphodes pyloalis. The study revealed a synchronized pattern in the appearance and peak activity of Apanteles obliquae, Chelonus carbonator, Cheilomeness exmaculata, and Ichneumonid wasp with the population dynamics of Glyphodes pyloalis, highlighting their role in regulating defoliator pest populations in the mulberry ecosystem.

Introduction

Mulberry a plant from the genus *Morus* and belong to the family Moraceae is a very hardy fast growing perennial plant which hardly exceed 10-15 meters height (Ajao *et al.*, 2020). Mulberry is a very versatile plant and can be maintained for about 15-20 years and used for many purposes most important being the only food for the monophagous silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L. Mulberry plant is cultivated over

2.3 lakh hectares area (Satkthivel *et al.*, 2019). Silkworm feed mulberry leaves throughout the life of the larva and use the leaf contents, especially proteins for the silk biosynthesis (Mahadeva, 2018). Mulberry (*Morus spp.*) forms the sole food for growth and development of silkworm (*Bombyx mori* L.) leading to quality silk production (Kumar *et al.*, 2002). Mulberry being an evergreen perennial plant with luxuriant foliage, afford an unlimited source of food and shelter for a variety of insects. Insect's pests are common in mulberry ecosystems.

They are detrimental to the growth, development and productivity of mulberry (Kumar *et al.*, 2018). A total of 300 insect and non-insect pest species have so far invaded mulberry, wreaking havoc on it with various diseases and pests (Kotikal *et al.*, 1982). The insect pest attacking mulberry are grouped into sap suckers, defoliators, borers and those inhabiting in soil (Termite) (Sakthivel *et al.*, 2019).

Among the pests, defoliators are considered to be major as they cause extensive damage to the mulberry. These defoliating pests cause around 12-25% leaf yield loss either by depletion in nutritive value or defoliation. Feeding these inferior quality leaves adversely affects the silkworm growth and finally the silk industry. The production of quantity and quality mulberry leaf is often hampered by insect pests (Kumar *et al.*, 2018).

The two major groups of defoliators that cause damage to the plant through their chewing mouthparts include the caterpillars and beetles. Leaf webber. Diaphania pulverulentalis Hampson (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae), specially its incidence peak in the month of October to December in South India, loss due to this pest is around 30% (Kumar et al., 2018). Leaf webber is considered the key pest of mulberry (Pachiappan et al., 2018). The peak infestation of Bihar hairy caterpillar, Spilosoma oblique Walker (Lepidoptera: Arctitidae) was seen during March to April and July to November. The loss due to this pest is upto 40% (Kumar et al., 2018). Mulberry roller. Glyphodes leaf

(*Margaronia*) pyloalis Walker (Lepidoptera:Pyralidae) causes leafyield loss about 15-20% in entire Asia (Anon., 2010). Cutworm, *Spodoptera litura* Fabricus (Lepidoptera:Noctuidae) is a polyphagus pest which occurs sporodacilly mainly in winter season (Kumar *et al.*, 2018). Its population rose-up starting February to June with another population peak in October but slide down in December (Carasi *et al.*, 2014). Other chewing insects occasionally damaging the plants include grasshoppers, katydids and their relatives (Rajadurai *et al.*, 2005).

In nature balance is maintained by certain biotic and abiotic factors and population dynamics of an organism is regulated by their natural enemies. Natural enemies play a very significant role in regulating populations of all pest classes (Singh et al., 2002). Many research and studies regarding the natural enemies of mulberry host plant pest has been made in the past years and is found successful. Inundative releases of the egg parasitoid Trichogramma chilonis were found to be effective in reducing the damage caused by leaf webber (Samuthiravelu et al., 2010). Apanteles obliquae, a larval endo-parasitoid is also found effective against leaf webber with a peak of 5.6% parasitism during October (Mittal et al., 2016). Apanteles sp. has been recorded as a predominant parasitoid on the leaf roller and leaf webber in Karnataka and Kashmir, respectively (Anonymous, 1997; Rajadurai et al., 1999 and Nighat et al., 2002) as cited in Mittal et al., (2016). Five natural enemies, including 3 parasitoids (Bracon sp., Apanteles sp., Goniozus sp.) and 2 predator spiders (Tetragnatha sp. and Philodromus sp.) were identified as natural enemies in the sericulture farms of Tamil Nadu in a survey conducted from August 1998 to February 1999 (Sathyaseelan et al., 2002). In a recent finding mulberry pyralid, Glyphodes pyloalis is found as a Pristmerus sulci (Hymenoptera: host of Ichneumonidae) (Bhat et al., 2020). In another study it is found that *Eocanthecona furcellata*, a predatory bug could safely be considered as potential biocontrol agent in pest management programme of lepiodopteran pest like Spilosoma oblique and

Maruca vitrata (Tiwari et al., 2017). In another study a dipteran parasitoid, Megaselia scalaris belonging to Phoridae family had been found as a laboratory parasitoid of Spodoptera frugiperda for the first time in India (Deshmukh et al., 2021). It was seen that use of pesticide is the most common method in reducing the pest population as it gives a quick effect as compared to the other means of pest control. It was seen that when mulberry silkworm are fed with the leaves having pesticide residue (Mulberry plant absorbs the pesticides that were earlier applied to the crops and are still present in the soil) it shows larval mortality specifically during spinning stage (Jyothi et al., 2019). Due to hazardous and ill effect of pesticide on non-targeted insect as well as other organisms we should shift our needs of pesticide uses to other relatively less harmful and eco-friendly sources. One such approach is the use of biological control. Biological control utilizes natural enemies such as parasitoid, predators, pathogens or competitors, deriving its energy directly from pests themselves (Singh et al., 2002). It is acknowledged as the best type of pest control (Lloyd, 1986; as cited in Singh et al., 2002).

Literature pertaining to the studies on predator-prey host-parasitoid relationship involving and defoliators and its entomophages in mulberry ecosystem is very scarce and from this it becomes clear that very few attempts were made in this regard. Moreover, it was observed that, information related to predator-prey and host-parasitoid involving relationship defoliators and its entomophages in mulberry ecosystem were very scanty, particularly for the North eastern part of India. Keeping these views in mind the present investigation was carried out to know the natural enemy complex associated with leaf feeding insects in the mulberry ecosystem.

Materials and Methods

The study on predator-prey and host parasitoid relationship involving defoliators and its entomophages in mulberry ecosystem was carried out during 2021-2022 in the field condition of Regional Sericulture Research Station, Central Silk Board, Jamuguri, Jorhat for a period of ten month from August 2021 to July 2022. The variety of mulberry plant that was used during the research period is Kanva-2 (K_2).

The Sampling method involved the Plant inspection method (Sunil *et al.*, 2013) by counting the population of pests. Samples were taken at 15 days interval and to know the pest complex, 10 plants were selected randomly by taking 2 plants from each of the four corners and middle of the experimental field, and inspection of the leaves, stems and branches for the presence of insects was carried out. The population was estimated by counting the average number of insects/shoot, insects/leaf and insects/plant.

Regular inspection of mulberry field conditions was done and different stages of defoliators were collected in a plastic container and brought to the laboratory for ascertaining their identity.

Various predacious insect predating on the defoliator pest was also recorded and collected as well as different instars of the defoliator pest was also collected in a plastic container for the adult emergence of the parasitoid in the laboratory condition. Specimens of adult parasitoids and predators was collected from the field and dry preserved and identified from the Department of Entomology, AAU, Jorhat.

On the basis of the population density of leaf eating pests and their natural enemies, relative abundance was determined. The relationship between the population density of defoliator pests and natural enemy populations was also computed using Pearson's correlation coefficient. The feeding potential of the predators was estimated by counting the number of prey items consumed by each predator in the field. The percent parasitization of the parasitoids was calculated using Dadang *et al.*, (2009) formula as follows:

Results and Discussion

A field visit was conducted to the mulberry experimental field of the Regional Sericulture Research Station, Central Silk Board, Jorhat, India, during 2021-2022 to identify the defoliator pests and natural enemies associated with mulberry cultivation. Defoliator pests associated with the mulberry ecosystem are shown in Table 1. Five species of defoliator pests were recorded: Mulberry leaf roller (Glyphodes pyloalis Walker) Pyralidae), Common (Lepidoptera: cutworm (Spodoptera litura Fabricius) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), Tussock caterpillar (Euproctis fraterna Moore) (Lepidoptera: Lymantriidae), Spanworm (Hemerophillaa trilineata Butler) (Lepidoptera: Geometridae), and Green weevil (Myllocerus viridanus Fabricius) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). Natural enemies associated with the mulberry ecosystem are shown in Table 2. Seven species of natural enemies were found: Apanteles obliquae Wilkinson (Hymenoptera: Braconidae), Chelonus carbonator Marshall (Hymenoptera: Braconidae), Ichneumonid wasp (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae), Disophrys sp. (Hymenoptera: Braconidae), Tachinid fly (Diptera: Tachinidae), Megaselia scalaris (Diptera: Phoridae), Cheilomeness exmaculata (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) and Spider. Our findings are in concordant with those reported by Mittal et al., (2016), which identified five parasitoids-namely, hymenopteran *Apanteles* obliquae Wilkinson, Braconhebetor Say, Chelonus Marshall. carbonator Pristomerus sulci Mahdihassan and Kolubajiv, and Xanthopimpla sp.—that parasitize the larvae of *Glyphodes pyloalis*.

Relative abundance of different defoliator pest

The relative abundance of different defoliator pests in the year 2021-2022 is shown in Table 3. and Figure 1. Among the five pests, *Glyphodes pyloalis* (Lesser mulberry pyralid) had the highest relative abundance (48.16%), followed by *Spodoptera litura* (22.93%), *Euproctis fraterna* (17.43%), *Myllocerus viridians* (6.42%) and *Hemerophillaa trilineata* (5.04%). Borgohain *et al.*, (2015) identified *Glyphodes pyloalis* as a significant pest causing destruction to mulberry crops in Jorhat, Assam.

Similarly, Cetin *et al.*, (2020) recognized *Glyphodes pyloalis* as a considerable threat to mulberry production in Turkey. Since *Glyphodes pyloalis* was the most abundant pest, further studies were conducted on this species.

The population dynamics of *Glyphodes pyloalis* and its natural enemies on mulberry plants were studied in relation to weather parameters during 2021-2022. Table 4 and Figure 2 show the mean population of *Glyphodes pyloalis* and its natural enemies in this period. The population of *Glyphodes pyloalis* peaked in May (3.20 individuals per shoot) and dropped to the lowest level in January (0.10 individuals per shoot).

No *Glyphodes pyloalis* were found in February. The natural enemies of *Glyphodes pyloalis*, namely *Apanteles obliquae*, *Chelonus carbonator*, *Cheilomeness exmaculata* and Ichneumonid wasp, also reached their highest population in May (1.00, 0.60, 0.50 and 0.50 parasitized larvae per plant, respectively). The population trend of *Glyphodes pyloalis* was in sync with its natural enemies.

Samuthiravelu *et al.*, (2010) observed an increase in natural enemies, particularly the ladybird predator *Cheilomeness exmaculata*, and the braconid parasitoids *Bracon hebetor* and *Apanteles taragamae*, in response to pest infestations, especially the leaf webber in mulberry.

Additionally, Bhat *et al.*, (2020) expanded the checklist of Ichneumonid parasites and noted *Glyphodes pyloalis* as a host for the parasitoid *Pristomerus sulci* Mahd. and Kolu.

Impact of meteorological factors on *Glyphodes pyloalis* population

The outcomes of correlation studies between the population density of *Glyphodes pyloalis* and various weather parameters are documented in Table 5and illustrated in Figure 3.During the period of 2021-2022, the maximum temperature, minimum temperature, and relative humidity in the evening exhibited a significant positive impact on the population of *G. pyloalis*. Conversely, rainfall, evaporation rate, and wind speed demonstrated a non-significant positive influence on the population levels of *G. pyloalis*. Additionally, relative humidity in the morning and the duration of *G. pyloalis*.

The temperature has a significant effect on the G. pyloalis population, influencing the pest's biology, distribution, and abundance, as highlighted by Braman et al., (1984); Tobin et al., (2003) and Zahiri et al., (2010). The impact of rainfall, although not significant, may positively affect the population by enhancing the nutritive quality of leaves, thereby reproduction. Similarly, aiding wind's nonsignificant positive effect could be attributed to the dispersal of adult moths to new locations. These findings suggest that weather factors play a role in the fluctuation of G. pyloalis populations. Borgohain et al., (2015) observed that evening relative humidity and minimum temperature significantly

positively affect the occurrence of *G. pyloalis*. Conversely, Ramegowda *et al.*, (2012) reported a negative correlation with maximum temperature, minimum temperature, and the number of rainy days at a significance level of P=0.01.

Feeding potential and percent parasitization of natural enemies

Table 6. details the feeding potential of *Cheilomeness exmaculata* on *Glyphodes pyloalis* larvae. The findings indicate that the *Cheilomeness exmaculata* grub exhibited a 16% feeding efficiency, with an average consumption of 1.6 ± 0.2 early instar larvae. The adult male *Cheilomeness exmaculata* showed a 23% feeding efficiency, consuming on average 2.3\pm0.3 early instar larvae. The adult female *Cheilomeness exmaculata* demonstrated the highest feeding efficiency at 29%, with an average consumption of 2.9\pm0.1 early instar larvae.

Singh *et al.*, (2008) investigated the biology and feeding potential of *Cheilomeness exmaculata* on mustard aphids. They discovered that the mean feeding potential for the grub stage was 26.82 ± 0.59 aphids per day per individual, while the adult stage had a mean feeding potential of 47.13 ± 1.75 aphids per day per individual. Furthermore, Table 7. presents the percentage of *Glyphodes pyloalis* larvae parasitized by the hymenopteran parasitoid *Apanteles obliquae*.

Table.1 Defoliator	pests associated	with the	mulberry ecosystem	
	pests associated		maioen y coosystem	•

Common name	Scientific name	Order	Family
Mulberry leaf roller	Glyphodes pyloalis Walker	Lepidoptera	Pyralidae
Common cutworm	m Spodoptera litura Fabricius		Noctuidae
Tussock caterpillar Euproctis fraterna Moore		Lepidoptera	Lymantriidae
Spanworm	Hemerophillaa trilineata Butler	Lepidoptera	Geometridae
Green weevil	Myllocerus viridanus Fabricius	Coleoptera	Curculionidae

Natural enemies	Order	Family
Apanteles obliquae Wilkinson	Hymenoptera	Braconidae
Chelonus carbonator Marshall	Hymenoptera	Braconidae
Megaselia scalaris	Diptera	Phoridae
Cheilomeness exmaculata	Coleoptera	Coccinellidae
Disophryssp.	Hymenoptera	Braconidae
Tachinid fly	Diptera	Tachinidae
Ichneumonid wasp	Hymenoptera	Ichneumonidae
Spider	Araneae	Salticidae

Table.2 Natu	ral enemies	associated	with the	mulberry	ecosystem
--------------	-------------	------------	----------	----------	-----------

Plate.1 (a-d). Different stages of Glyphodes pyloalis



c) Pupa





d) Adult





Date of sampling	Glyphodes	Spodoptera	Euproctis	Hemerophillaa	Myllocerus viridians
	<i>pyloalis</i> (no./ shoot)	<i>litura</i> (no./ plant)	<i>fraterna</i> (no./ leaf)	<i>trilineata</i> (no./ plant	(no./ plant)
15-Aug,2021	1.20	0.40	0.60	0.20	0.20
31-Aug	1.40	0.70	1.00	0.00	0.20
15-Sep	1.80	0.50	0.70	0.10	0.20
30-Sep	1.30	0.50	0.50	0.30	0.20
15-Oct	1.10	0.60	0.40	0.00	0.10
31-Oct	1.00	0.90	0.20	0.00	0.10
15-Nov	1.10	1.00	0.40	0.10	0.00
30-Nov	1.30	0.90	0.30	0.00	0.00
15-Dec	0.90	1.00	0.20	0.10	0.20
31-Dec	0.60	0.40	0.20	0.20	0.10
15-Jan,2022	0.10	0.00	0.30	0.10	0.00
31-Jan	0.10	0.00	0.10	0.00	0.00
15-Feb	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.10
28-Feb	0.00	0.00	0.20	0.10	0.10
15-Mar	1.10	0.00	0.20	0.00	0.10
31-Mar	0.80	0.00	0.10	0.20	0.20
15-Apr	1.30	0.30	0.60	0.30	0.10
30-Apr	1.40	0.80	0.50	0.10	0.30
15-May	3.20	1.10	0.40	0.20	0.20
31-May	2.10	1.40	0.60	0.30	0.10
15-Jun	0.90	0.80	0.30	0.10	0.30
30-Jun	0.80	0.50	0.50	0.20	0.20
15-Jul	0.70	0.10	0.30	0.10	0.10
31-Jul	1.00	0.20	0.40	0.00	0.30
Mean	1.05	0.50	0.38	0.11	0.14
Relative abundance (%)	48.16	22.93	17.43	5.04	6.42

Table.3 Relative abundance of different defoliator pests during the year 2021-22 in the mulberry field

Date of sampling	Glyphodes pyloalis(no./shoot)	Apanteles obliquae (no. of parasitized larva/ plant)	<i>Chelonus</i> <i>carbonator</i> (no.of parasitized larva/	Ichneumonid wasp (no. of parasitized	Cheilomeness exmaculata (G+A) (no./ plant)
			plant)	larva/ plant)	
15-Aug,2021	1.20	0.60	0.10	0.30	0.20
31-Aug	1.40	0.40	0.00	0.10	0.10
15-Sep	1.80	0.60	0.10	0.00	0.20
30-Sep	1.30	0.50	0.00	0.10	0.30
15-Oct	1.10	0.30	0.20	0.20	0.00
31-Oct	1.00	0.50	0.10	0.10	0.20
15-Nov	1.10	0.20	0.00	0.10	0.10
30-Nov	1.30	0.30	0.20	0.00	0.20
15-Dec	0.90	0.40	0.10	0.20	0.10
31-Dec	0.60	0.20	0.10	0.00	0.00
15-Jan,2022	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
31-Jan	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
15-Feb	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28-Feb	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
15-Mar	1.10	0.30	0.20	0.10	0.10
31-Mar	0.80	0.40	0.10	0.30	0.30
15-Apr	1.30	0.60	0.30	0.40	0.30
30-Apr	1.40	0.50	0.20	0.20	0.20
15-May	3.20	0.70	0.40	0.50	0.50
31-May	2.10	1.00	0.60	0.40	0.20
15-Jun	0.90	0.50	0.40	0.20	0.30
30-Jun	0.80	0.50	0.30	0.10	0.10
15-Jul	0.90	0.50	0.30	0.20	0.20
31-Jul	1.00	0.40	0.50	0.10	0.30

Table.4 Population trend of *Glyphodes pyloalis* and its major natural enemies during the year 2021-22

Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci (2023) 12(12): 160-180

Relationship statistic Glyphodes pyloalis population **Meteorological factors** 0.44^{*} Max T(°C) r 0.56* Min T(°C) r **RH** (morning) -0.14 NS r 0.48^{*} **RH** (evening) r RF (mm) 0.28 NS r EVP (mm) 0.21 NS r WS (kmph) 0.30 NS r **BSSH** (hr) -0.12 NS r

Table.5 Relationship of Glyphodes pyloalis with meteorological factors during 2021-22

NS= Non Significant

* Significant at P= 0.05

Table.6 Feeding potential and Predatory efficiency of Cheilomeness exmaculata on Glyphodes pyloalis

Predator stage	No. of prey offered	No. of prey consumed	Percent feeding efficiency
Grub	10	1.6±0.2	16.0
Adult (Male)	10	2.3±0.3	23.0
Adult (Female)	10	2.9±0.1	29.0

Data based on 5 observations

Table.7 Per cent parasitization of Apanteles obliquae on Glyphodes pyloalis

Host stage	No. of host larvae	Per cent parasitization
1 st instar	10	18.0
2 nd instar	10	36.0
3 rd instar	10	20.0
4 th instar	10	6.0
5 th instar	10	0.0

Data based on 5 observations

Plate.2 (a-d). Different stages of Spodoptera litura



c) Pupa



d) Adult





Plate.3 (a-d). Different stages of Euproctisfraterna



b) Larva



c) Pupa







Plate.4 Spanworm (*Hemerophillaa trilineata*)



Plate.5 Green Weevil (*Myllocerus viridanus*)



Plate.6 (a-c). Different stages of Apanteles obliquae



a) Maggot

b) Cocoon

c) Adult

Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci (2023) 12(12): 160-180



Plate.7 (a-c). Different stages of Chelonus carbonator

a) Maggot

b) Cocoon

Plate.8 (a, b). Different stages of Ichneumonid wasp

a) Cocoon

b) Adult

Plate.9 Disophryssp.

Plate.10 Tachinid fly

Plate.11 (a-c). Different stages of Megaselia scalaris found from Spodoptera litura

c) Adult

a) Maggot

b) Cocoon

Plate.12 Cheilomeness exmaculata

Plate.14 (a, b). Percent parasitization

a) Bottle containing *Glyphodes pyloalis* larvae and *Apanteles obliquae* adult

b) Larvae after parasitization

Plate.15 Feeding potential and predatory efficiency

Fig.1 Relative abundance of different defoliator pests during 2021-2022

Fig.2 Population trend of Glyphodes pyloalis with natural enemies

Fig.3 Population trend of Glyphodes pyloalis with meteorolgical factors

The highest level of parasitization occurred in the 2nd instar *G. pyloalis* larvae, with a 36% parasitization rate. This was followed by the 3rd instar larvae at 20%, the 1st instar larvae at 18%, and the 4th instar larvae at 6%. Notably, no parasitism was observed in the 5th instar larvae, which may be attributed to the host's defensive behavior observed during the experiment.

Sarikaya *et al.*, (2020) observed that the lifespan of both male and female *Bracon hebetor* was extended when they were provided with honey, in contrast to individuals that were only given water. Additionally, Islam *et al.*, (2002) reported a preference by *Apanteles obliqua* for parasitizing the second instar larvae of *Spilosoma obliqua*, followed by the third instar larvae.

The insect pests that attack mulberry plants can be categorized into four main groups: sap suckers, defoliators, borers, and soil inhabitants such as termites. Defoliators are identified as the most significant threat among these pests due to their extensive damage to mulberry foliage. The impact of these defoliating pests is substantial, resulting in an estimated leaf yield loss of 12-25%, which can be attributed to either a reduction in the nutritive value of the leaves or outright defoliation.

During the study period 2021-22 in the field condition of Regional Sericulture Research Station, Central Silk Board, Jamuguri, Jorhat, five defoliator pests were identified attacking mulberry plants, with the Mulberry leaf roller (Glyphodes pyloalis Walker) being the most prevalent, alongside eight natural enemies, Apanteles obliquae, Chelonus carbonator and Ichneumonid wasp, along with the coccinellid predator Cheilomeness exmaculata, have been identified as active natural enemies of Glyphodes pyloalis among which Apanteles obliquae Wilkinson was the most abundant. These species are considered effective biocontrol agents for managing G. pyloalis infestations due to their consistently high populations, synchronization with prey populations, shorter life cycles, and a high female-to-male ratio, as observed under laboratory conditions.

Acknowledgement

We are grateful to Dr. Roshmi Borah Dutta, Assistant Professor, College of Sericulture, AAU-Jorhat, and to all the advisory committee members for their encouragement and guidance.

Conflict of interest

None

References

- Ajao, A. M.; Oladimeji, Y. U.; Olawuwo, A. O. and Jayeola, A. V. (2020). Sericulture Farmers' Perception and performance of *Bombyx mori* AJ and AC hybrid cocoon reared with S30 Mulberry leaves under Nigerian tropical condition. *FUDMA Journal of Science.*, 4(1): 271.
- Anonymous (1997). Annual Report- 1996-97. Central Sericultural Research and Training Institute, Pampore, Kashmir. 98 pp.
- Anonymous (2010). Project Report on Integrated Management of Mulberry Pests and Diseases in Kashmir Region. CSR&TI, Pampore, Kashmir, India, 36 pp.
- Bhat, D. M.; Sheikh, M. A. and Khan, S. A. (2020). An updated checklist of Ichneumonid parasites (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae) associated with insects/insects pests of Agricultural, Horticulture and other Economically important plants in the union territory of Jammu & Kashmir. Int.J.Curr.Microbial.App.Sci., 9(4): 2266-2277.

https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2020.904.27

- Borgohain, A.; Bhattacharjee, J. and Singha, A. T H. (2015). Population Dynamics of Mulberry leaf Roller *Glyphodes pyloalis* Walker in Jorhat (Assam) in context of environmental factors. *The Ecoscan.*, 9(1&2): pp.175-178.
- Braman S. K. Sloderbeck P. E. Yeargan K. V. 1984. Effects of temperature on the development and survival of *Nabis americoferus* and *N*.

roseipennis (Hemiptera: Nabidae). Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am. 77: 592–596. https://doi.org/10.1093/aesa/77.5.592

- Carasi, R. C.; Telan, I. F. and Pera, B. V. (2014). Bioecology of Common Cutworm (*S. litura*) of Mulberry. *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications*. Volume 4, Issue 4, ISSN 2250-3153.
- Cetin, G; Goksel, P. H. and Ozdemir, M. (2020). A pest that could be posing a threat to mulberry Production of Turkey: *Glyphodes pyloalis*. *Plant Protection Bulletin.*,60(3): 39-45.
- Dadang D, Fitriasari ED, Prijono D (2009) Effectiviness of two Botanical insecticide formulations to two major cabbage insect pest on field application. J. ISSAAS 15: 42-51.
- Deshmukh, S. S.; Kiran, S.; Naskar, A.; Pradeep, P.; Kalleshwaraswamy, C. M. and Sharath, K. N. (2021). First record of a parasitoid, *Megaselia scalaris* (Diptera: Phoridae) of fall Armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J. E. Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) from India. *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control.*, 31(1):94.

https://doi.org/10.1186/s41938-021-00439-w

- Islam, M. A., Haque, M. A., & Sardar, M. A. (2002). Biology and Parasitism of Apanteles obliquae Wilk.(Hymenoptera: Braconidae) on Jute Hairy Caterpillar, Spilarctia obliqua(Walk.). Bangladesh Journal of Entomology, 13, 12-21.
- Jyothi, N. B., Prashant, N. B., Maribashetty, V. G., & Radhakrishna, P. G. (2019). Effect of pesticide residue in soil on silkworm, *Bombyx mori* l-survey analysis. *International Journal of Industrial Entomology*, 38(2), 31-37.
- Kotikal, Y. K. (1982). Studies on pests of mulberry Morus alba L. with special reference to the black headed caterpillar, Spilosoma obliqua Walker (Lepidoptera: Arctiidae). M. M. Sc.(Agri.) Thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore, India.
- Kumar S, T.; Narayanaswamy, T. K. and Manjunatha. H. A. (2018). Mulberry

Defoliating Insect Pests and their Management. *International Journal of Current Innovation Research* ISSN: 2395-5775. Vol.4, Issue 5(A), pp.1228-1231.

- Kumar, J. S.; Sarkar, A.; Susheelamma, B. N. and Venkateshwarlu, M. (2002). Identification of Genotypes for cultivation as trees. *Indian Journal of Sericulture*.4(1):78-79.
- Lloyd, A. A. (1986). Biological Control: Research for tomorrow: The 1986 yearbook of Agriculture. Pp. 151.
- Mahadeva, A. (2018). Insect pest infestation, an obstacle in quality mulberry leaves production. *Asian J. Biol. Sci*, 11, 41-52. <u>https://doi.org/10.3923/ajbs.2018.41.52</u>
- Mittal, V.; Ramegowda, G. K.; Illahi Irfan.; Babulal and Sharma, S. P.; (2016). Biodiversity of Natural Enemies of Mulberry Leaf Webber, *Glyphodes pyloalis* Walker epidoptear: Pyralidae) in Kashmir Valley. *Indian Horticulture Journal.*, 6(special) :50-53.
- Nighat, M., Malik, M. A., Dar, H. U., Sofi, M. A., & Malik, F. (2002). Preliminary studies on the natural enemies of *Glyphodes pyloalis* Walker (Pyralidae: Lepidoptera), a serious pest of mulberry trees in Kashmir. *Oriental Science*, 7, 111-113.
- Pachiappan, P., Prabhu, S., & Mahalingam, C. A. (2018). Histopathological studies of mulberry leaf webber (*Diaphania pulverulentalis*) (Hampson) larva infected with nuclear polyhedrosis virus. *J. of Entomol. Zool. Stu*, 6(2), 3002-3006.
- Rajadurai S. (2005). Mulberry Defoliators. Indian Silk; pp:12-14.
- Rajadurai, S., Manjunath, D., Katiyar, R. L., Prasad, K. S., Sen, A. K., Shekhar, M. A.,... & Datta, R. K. (1999). Leaf roller: a serious pest of mulberry. *Indian silk*, 37(12), 9-12.
- Ramegowda, G. K., Illahi, I., Mittal, V., Akhter, I., Dhar, A., & Khan, M. A. (2012). Influence of weather on the incidence and severity of lesser mulberry Pyralid and mulberry Looper in Kashmir, India. *J Entomol*, 9(6), 422-428. <u>https://doi.org/10.3923/je.2012.422.428</u>
- Sakthivel, N., Narendra, K. J. B., Dhahira, B. N.,

Devamani, M., & Teotia, R. S. (2019). Mulberry pests current status and management practices. *Central Sericultural Research & Training Institute Central Silk Board, Ministry of Textiles, Government of India.*

- Samuthiravelu, P., Ravikumar, J., Qadri, S. M. H., Hemanthkumar, L., & Jayaraj, S. (2010). Influence of abiotic factors on population dynamics of leaf webber *Diaphania pulverulentalis* and its natural enemies in mulberry. *Journal of Biopesticides*, 3(Special Issue), 37.
- Sarikaya, A. (2020). The effects of Honey variety on longevity of the adult parasitoid, *Bracon hebetor* Say, 1836 (Hymenoptera: Braconidae). *Uludag Bee Journal.*, 20(2): 114-122.

https://doi.org/10.31467/uluaricilik.718805

- Singh, R. N., & Saratchandra, B. (2002). An integrated approach in the pest management in sericulture. *International Journal of Industrial Entomology*, 5(2), 141-151.
- Singh, Y. P., Meghwal, H. P., & Singh, S. P. (2008).

Biology and feeding potential of *Cheilomeness exmaculata* Fabricius (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) on mustard aphid. *Ann Arid Zone*, 47, 185-190.

- Tiwari, S., Maurya, R., and Pandey, A. (2017) Effect of Different Insect Hosts on Biology and Predation Efficiency of Tion Efficiency of Tion Efficiency of *Eocanthecona Furcella, Anthecona Furcella, Anthecona Furcellata* Wolff (Hemiptera Wolff (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae). Development, 4(2), 0.
- Tobin, P. C., Nagarkatti, S., & Saunders, M. C. (2003). Phenology of grape berry moth (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae) in cultivated grape at selected geographic locations. *Environmental Entomology*, 32(2), 340-346. <u>https://doi.org/10.1603/0046-225X-32.2.340</u>
- Zahiri B. Fathipour Y. Khanjani M. Moharramipour S. Zaluki M. 2010. Preimaginal development response to constant temperatures in *Hypera postica* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae): picking the best model. *Environ. Entomol.* 39: 177–189. <u>https://doi.org/10.1603/EN08239</u>

How to cite this article:

Manjula Sultana, Dipankar Brahma, Rushali Chakraborty, Roshmi Borah and Jugabrat Sarma. 2023. Diversity of Defoliators and Natural Enemies Associated with the Mulberry Ecosystem. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci.* 12(12): 160-180. **doi:** <u>https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2023.1212.020</u>